INDEX.

Αμαρτία: viewed as objective sin by Holsten, 26, 28, 354; Lüdemann, 44, 390; Pfleiderer, 54; without support from passages adduced, 83-86, 318; meaning of σάρξ ὁμ. discussed, 330-341. See Sin.


Basar: different uses of the term, 109-115.

Baur (Dr. Ferdinand Christian): earlier view, 19; later, 20-23; judging Holsten, 65.

Beck (Dr. Johann Tobias): Biblical Psychology, 174; trichotomy, 176.

Body. See Σῶμα.

Calvin: definition of 'flesh,' 11 f.; on Rom. viii. 10, 223 f.; on 2 Cor. iii. 17, 228.

Charismata of primitive church, 151.

Chrysostom: definition of 'flesh,' 13.

Clemmens (Heinrich Wilhelm), on πνεῦμα τοῦ νοὸς, 442 f.

Corinthians, first Epistle to the: ii. 14, 167-190; ix. 27, 248; xiv. 14, 206-210; xv. 35 ff., 191, 251, 432-440.

Corinthians, second Epistle to the, iii. 1-iv. 6, 164-167; iii. 17, 227-230; v. 21, 321 f.; vii. 1, 259 f.

Cremer (Dr. Hermann): his Lexicon, 130; quoted, 115, 141; on Plutarch's use of σάρξ, 291; on ὑποταγμα, 326.

Delitzsch (Dr. Franz): on Is. xliii. 1, 153; his Biblical Psychology, 175; on trichotomy, 176-8; definition of σάρξ examined, 303 f.; view of Eph. iv. 23 examined, 441-445.

Δέκα: view of Lüdemann, 39, 380; of Pfleiderer, 59; of Holsten, 347; import at 2 Cor. iii. 18, 163-167.

Dualism: as defined by Holsten, 26; by Lüdemann, 39 f.; Pfleiderer, 52; in Book of Wisdom, 282; not in O. T. or in St. Paul, 312.

Ellicott, Bishop: on human πνεῦμα, 97, 194; on πνεῦμα τοῦ νοὸς, 441.

Ephesians, Epistle to the, iv. 23, 211, 441-445.

Exegesis, specimens of, 82-91, 138 f.; principles of, 94-112.

Flesh and Spirit as used by St. Paul: interest of inquiry, 1 f.; difficulties, 3-7; scope and
limits, 7-9; recent German theories stated, 18-62; divergent and incongruous, 64-67; ex facie improbable, 68-73; criticised as to methods and results, 74-92; discussions of meaning of terms, 130-308; general conclusions, 341-343.

Flesh. See Σάρκ.

Gifford (Dr.): on Rom. vii. 18, 319.

Godet (Dr. Frédéric): on Rom. vii. 14 ff., 214; on θέλεω in Rom. vii., 219; on Rom. viii. 10, 221; definition of σάρκ, 306.

Gould (Dr. Ezra): explanation of the use of σάρκ, 246 and 445; set aside, 448.

Grimm (Dr. C. L. W.): Lexicon, 130; quoted 159, 278, 279.

Hilgenfeld (Dr. Adolf): on Rom. vii. 9, 86; on ὑμολογία, 323.

Hofmann (Dr. J. C. von): on Rom. viii. 10, 222; reviewed by Schmidt, 360, 367.

Holsten (Dr. Carl): leading positions stated, 23-28, 346 ff.; criticised by Baur, 65; Schmidt, 66, 367 f., 374; Lüdemann, 66, 381 f., 385, 400; want of consistency, 68; elasticity of categories, 75, 77, 79; interpretation of Rom. v., 12 ff. examined, 83 f.; πνεύμα as material substance, 161 f.; explanation of passages as to human πν, 169 f.; distinction between σάρκ and σῶμα examined, 247 ff.; rejection of 2 Cor. vii. 1, 75, 260; theory as to σάρκ examined, 274 ff.; on 2 Cor. v. 21, 321 f.; on ὑμολογία, 323; attribution of 'sin-flesh' to Christ, 331 f.; grounds for it examined, 332 ff.

Hort (Dr. F. J. A.): conjecture as to 2 Cor. iii. 17, 229 f.

Hyle: currency as philosophic term, 280; use of διά in N. T. and LXX., 280; not employed by St. Paul, 279 f.

Immer (Dr. A.): on correlation of divine and human πνεύμα, 238.

Interpretation, principles of, 94-112.

Jowett (Dr. Benjamin): on St. Paul's psychology, 6; on double meaning of words, 97-102; on 1 Thess. v. 23, 181.

Kapita: use of the term, 198 f., 430; comprehensive import, 198 ff.; seat of intelligence and volition, 200 f.; characteristics and contrasts, 202 f.; relation to νοῦς, 204.

Laidlaw (Dr. John): his Bible Doctrine of Man, 40, 174, 175; view as to Rom. vii., 214 f.; on definitions of σάρκ, 303.

Lebkh: distinction from ruach, 120 f., 420-422.

Le Clerc (Jean): definition of 'flesh,' 14.

Lightfoot, Bishop: quoted, 97, 182, 296, 445.

Literature, special, 449 f.

Lüdemann (Dr. Hermann): his views stated, 37-49, 375-403; criticising Holsten and Schmidt, 66; criticised by Pfleiderer, 67, 85; on Rom. v. 2, 86 f.; on μὴ γένοιτο, 89 f.; alleged parallel, 90 f.; on 1 Cor. xiv. 14, 208; on the σῶμα as 'form,' 256 f.; alleged parallel between St. Paul and Philo examined, 287-293; on ambiguity of "Sinnlichkeit," 295; on sphere of operation of the σάρκ, 297; on σάρκ as 'tendency of life,' 302; on Alexandrian Hellenism im-
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ported into O. T., 312; on άμωμα, 324 f.; his view examined, 326 f.

Lüdemann (Dr. Gottlieb): on distinction of πνεύμα and ψυχή, 176.

Luther: view of ‘flesh,’ 11.

Matter: elasticity of the category, 76; not mentioned as such, or as evil, 280 f.; not identified with evil, 311.

Meyer (Dr. H. A. W.): 98, 135, 296, 389; on 1 Cor. xiv. 14, 209; on 2 Cor. iii. 17, 227; his definition of σάρξ, 307; recent revision of his “Commentary,” 307 f.

Middleton, Bishop: on use of the article with νεανία, 134.

Müller (Dr. Julius): definition of σάρξ, 12, examined, 301; criticised by Schmidt, 358; and by Lüdemann, 388.

Neander (Dr. August): definition of σάρξ, 12, examined, 299.

Nephesh in distinction from ruch, 118 f., 416-419.

Noös: use of the term, 431; as defined by Baur, 21; Lüdemann, 38, 378; Pfleiderer, 56; relation to καρδία, 204 f.; sense in 1 Cor. xiv. 14, 206 f., faculty of judgment, 209 f.; field specially ethical, 211 f.; πνεύμα τοῦ νοὸς, 211 f., 441-445; use of νοὸς in Rom. vii., 213-219.

Oltramare (Dr. Hugues): exegetical caprices of, 138-140, 220.

'Ωμολώμα (Rom. viii. 3): conflicting views of its meaning, 323; Lüdemann’s view, 324 f., 396; asserting similarity but denying sameness, 327; assumptions of Pfleiderer and Lüdemann criticised, 328-330.

Overbeck (Dr. Fr.): view of άμωμα, 323.

Paul, St.: relation to philosophy, 70; declarations and disclaimers, 71-73; original readers, 94 f.; Jewish culture, 105 f.; teaching compared with that of Philo, 283-294; doctrine of sin, 309-340.

Pfleiderer (Dr. Otto): views stated, 50-62; repudiation of Holsten’s view as to σάρξ, 66; and of Lüdemann’s view as to Rom. i.-viii, 67; presumption against his theory of “unreconciled antinomy,” 70; elasticity of categories, 76 f., 80; rejects Holsten’s exegesis of Rom. v. 12, 85; explanation of Pauline doctrine of πνεύμα, 150; conception of πν. as material substance, 161 f.; on 1 Thess. v. 23, 181; on relation between divine and human πνεύμα, 233; solution examined, 234 ff.; view as to σῶμα examined, 257 f.; explaining away of Rom. v. 12, 317; ‘artifice of the idea,’ 317; on Holsten’s view of 2 Cor. v. 21, 322; view of άμωμα, 324, examined, 328; alleged key to St. Paul’s argument at Rom. viii. 3 examined, 333-339.

Philo: teaching compared with St. Paul’s, 283-294; main points of agreement and difference, 285; on the body, 286; alleged parallel use of σάρξ, 287 ff.; a foil to St. Paul, 294.

Philosophy: St. Paul’s alleged relation to Greek, 70-75; categories of, imported into exegesis, 74-80.

Πνεύμα: uses of the word, 131 f., 428; meaning of, at Rom. viii., 10, 219 ff.; at 2 Cor. iii. 17, 227 ff.; πνεύμα τοῦ νοὸς, 211 f., 441-445.
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Πνεῦμα, the Divine: 133 ff.; effect of the article, 134 f.; characteristics, 136 ff.; identity in all, 143; diversity of operation, 144 f.; relation to O. T. ῥυαχ, 146 ff.; apocalyptic conception too narrow, 150; “gifts” of primitive church, 151; ethico-religious character, 152; promises in Is. and Ezek., 153 ff.; with genitival adjuncts, 157 f.; adverse influences similarly designated 159; passages adduced as implying substance, 161 ff.; relation to human πν., 230 ff.

—the Human: 168 ff.; passages implying, 168; suggested explanations, 169 ff.; difficulties, 171 f.; distinctions suggested, 175 ff.; relation to ψυχή, 182 ff.; Wendt’s view of the distinction, 191 ff.; objections to his peculiar position, 193 ff.; term chosen to express religious value, 194-197; relation between human and divine πν., 230 ff.; correlation as presented by Immer, 238; mode of marking the distinction, 239.

Psyche: use of term, 430; place and function, 182 f.; seat of Εγώ, and value as such, 184 f.; meaning of ψυχικός, 187 f.; relation to πνεῦμα, 191 f.

Psychology, Biblical: tendency to systematise, 6; trichotomy, 173 f.; Beck and Delitzsch, 174; psychological origin of sin not explained by St. Paul, 315.

Reuss (Dr. Édouard): definitions of ‘flesh,’ 14, 306; Histoire de la Theol. Chrét., 93; on the sense of πν., and duplication of man’s spiritual nature, 137 f.; on Is. xlii. 1, 153; on 1 Thess. v. 23, 152; on relation between divine and hu-

man πνεῦμα, 232; on 1 Cor. xv. 39, 248; freedom of his rendering of σάρξ, 306.

Revised version of N. T., 135, 269; twofold rendering of πνεῦμα, 239-241; American revision preferable, 242.

Ritschl (Dr. Albert): his view stated, 15-17, 260; modified, 271; criticised by Schmidt, 368 f.


Ruach: uses of the term, 116-127; relation to nephesh, 116-120; relation to lebab, 120 f.; transcendental, 122; qualities of, as promised to Israel, 123 f.; “spirit of the holiness of God,” 126; precedent and warrant for N. T. conception, 152 ff.

Σάρξ: chief lines of interpretation, 10-18; recent German theories, 18 f.; O. T. use of basar, 109-116; does it imply sinfulness? 113-116; uses by St. Paul, 224 f., 426; distinction between σάρξ and σῶμα, 246 ff.; Wendt’s view, 250-262, 432 ff.; πᾶσα σ., 263; σ. κατ’ αἵμα, 263; used for ‘creature’ or ‘creaturely side,’ 264 f.; prominent features of this use, 267; interchanged with ‘man,’ 267; with ‘the old man,’ 268; contrast to πνεῦμα, 269 f.; result arrived at, 271; other interpretations and definitions examined, 272 f.; theory of bodily substance examined, 274-294; theory of ‘sensuousness,’ 294-298; definitions of Neander, Tholuck, Julius Müller, Delitzsch reviewed, 299-303; views of Meyer and Weiss, 307; definition pre-

Schmidt (Dr. Richard): his view stated, 29-36, 357-374; judgment on Holsten’s positions, 66, 367 f., 369, 371; criticised by Lüdemann and Pfleiderer, 66 f.; presumption against his view, 69; on Rom. viii. 10, 223; objection to taking σάρξ as an abstract notion examined, 265 f.; referred to, 316, 322.

Sensuousness: definitions resting on, 13 f.; theory of, examined, 294 f.; ambiguity of ‘Sinnlichkeit,’ 295; sin not associated exclusively with, 313 f. Septuagint: usage of, 127 f.; but slightly influenced by philosophical leanings, 282.

Siegfried (Dr. Carl): comparison of St. Paul and Philo, 284 f.

Sin: relation of the σάρξ to, 309-340; not associated exclusively with the body, 313; psychological origin not explained, 315; referable to selfishness rather than to sensuousness, 316 f.; historical origin, 317 f.; Rom. v. 12 and Rom. vii. not incompatible, 318; doctrine of, based not on speculation but on experience, 319; empiric dominion of, 321; exception of Christ, 321 ff.; Holsten’s theory examined by Wendt, 334 ff. See ἀμαρτία.

Sinlessness of Christ, 321 f.; discussion of Rom. viii. 3, 322 ff.; grounds for attributing σάρξ ἀμαρτίας to Christ examined, 331 f.

Σώμα: usage of the term, 427; distinguished from σάρξ by Holsten, 24, 247, 347; Lüdemann, 88, 256, 376 f.; Pfleiderer, 50, 257; Wendt, 250, 432 f.; positions examined, 247-262; ethical connections of, 255; at 2 Cor. vii. 1, 259; outward executive organ, 260 f.; prominence given to it, 262; relation to sin not as source, but as seat or organ, 313 f.

Spirit. See Πνεῦμα.

Thessalonians, first Epistle to the, v. 23, 179 f.

Tholuck (Dr. F. A. G.): definition of σάρξ, 12, examined, 300.

Translations from the German, 451.

Trichotomy, 175 f.

Tübingen School: doubts of, 103 f.; divergent conclusions, 64 f., 322 ff.

Usteri (Dr. Leonhard), definition of σάρξ, 14; speculation of, as to relation between divine and human πνεῦμα, 230 f.

Weiss (Dr. Bernhard): his Biblical Theology of N. T., 93; criticised by Schmidt, 33, 369; Lüdemann, 43, 389; on πνεῦμα as source of ‘gifts,’ 150; view as to human πνεῦμα examined, 170-172; on 1 Thess. v. 23, 179; on θέλειν in Rom. vii., 219; on Rom. viii. 10, 221; his revision of Meyer, 307; definition of σάρξ, 307; on the reference of sin to the body, 315; on σάρξ without the article, 321; on Rom. viii. 3, 339.

Wendt (Dr. H. H.): his monograph, 92; on the O. T. usage, 109 ff., 404 ff.; exception taken to his position as to passages implying sinfulness, 113 f.;
and to certain positions as to 

\textit{ruach}, 126 f.; on ethico-religious character of the \textit{πνεύμα}, 152; on O. T. conception of spirits of evil, 160; on alleged conception of substance, 161 ff.; on 2 Cor. iii. 18, 164-167; on 1 Thess. v. 23, 181 f.; on relation of \textit{σὰρξ} and \textit{ψυχή}, 183; on \textit{ψυχή} as seat of the Ego, 184 f.; on \textit{ψυχήκες} in 1 Cor. ii. 14, 187 f.; on distinction between \textit{πνεύμα} and \textit{ψυχή}, 191 f.; objections to his position as to St. Paul's peculiar use of \textit{πνεύμα}, 193 f.; on \textit{καρδία}, 202; and \textit{νοῦς}, 204, 208, 210; on \textit{θελευ} in Rom. vii. 15 ff., 216 f.; on 2 Cor. iii. 3, 245; view of distinction between \textit{σὰρξ} and \textit{σῶμα}, 250-262, 432 f.; on relation of the \textit{σῶμα} to sin, 260 f.; answer to Schmidt's objection regarding abstract use of \textit{σὰρξ}, 265 f.; on Rom. vii. 23, 314; classification of

St. Paul's judgments as to the \textit{σὰρξ}, 320; exception to his view of \textit{ὁμολογία}, 326; on Rom. viii. 3, 332; examination of theory of Holsten and Pfeiderer as to Christ's cancelling of \textit{σὰρξ ἀμαρτίας}, 334-339; reason for choice of this expression, 340 f.

Westcott and Hort (Dras.): conjecture as to 2 Cor. iii. 2 f., 201.

Winer (Dr. G. B.): on use of anarthrous \textit{πνεύμα}, 135.

Wisdom, Book of: alleged reminiscence of, 90; its dualism, 281 f.

Zeller (Dr. Eduard): on alleged early traces of Jewish-Greek philosophy, 282; on use of \textit{σὰρξ} by Greek philosophers, 291; on meaning of \textit{ὁμολογία}, 323.

Zeschwitz (Dr. von): on trichotomy, 177 f.